UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,457	09/01/2006	Yukio Arima	071971-0640	2322
	7590 11/18/200 `WILL & EMERY LL	EXAMINER		
600 13TH STR		RIZK, SAMIR WADIE		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2112	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/591,457	ARIMA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	SAM RIZK	2112		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinusually and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 July This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 1.	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-7 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 September 2006 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11 The path or dealeration is chief and to be the Filed	or election requirement. er. are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Seet tion is required if the drawing(s) is object	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kanimer. Note the attached Office	ACION OF IOTH P 10-132.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/591,457 Page 2

Art Unit: 2112

DETAILED ACTION

- Response to the applicant's amendment dated 7/15/2009
- Claims 1-7 are pending
- Claim 1 has been rejected
- Claims 2-7 have been objected to

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments see pages 2-4, filed on 7/15/2009, with respect to the rejection of claim 1 under section 102(b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Kim et al. US patent no. 6483881 (Hereinafter Kim) and in further view of the Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/591,457 Page 3

Art Unit: 2112

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim (US patent no. 6483881) and further in view of Applicant admitted Prior Art (AAPA).
- 3. In regard to claim 1, AAPA teaches;
 - An ACS circuit, which receives differential branch metrics (hereinafter referred to as "DBMs"), each of which is a difference between any two branches related to Viterbi decoding, (page 3, lines (2-6) in AAPA) performs additions of the received DBMs to differential path metrics (hereinafter referred to as "DPMs"), (page 3, lines (6-20) in AAPA) each of which is a difference between any two states, and compares resultant DPMs obtained after the additions to select the most likely paths, wherein of the DPMs,

However, the Applicant in the AAPA does not teach:

- basic DPMs, each of which is a DPM between a path metric for a reference state and a path metric for another state, are retained and the most likely paths are selected according to the basic DPMs.
 - Kim in an analogous art that teach method of reducing complexity using statistic to reduce path metrics in a trellis decoder teaches:
- basic DPMs, each of which is a DPM between a path metric for a reference state
 and a path metric for another state, are retained and the most likely paths are
 selected according to the basic DPMs.

(col. 5, lines (49-67) through col. 6, lines (1-25) in Kim)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of AAPA with the teaching of Kim that comprise use of reference path metric method to reduce complexities of ACS calculations.

This modification would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, because one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized the need for reducing the complexity of ACS computations in a trellis decoder.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

5. Claim 2 of the present application teach for example:

The ACS circuit of Claim 1, comprising:

a basic DPM retaining section for retaining the basic DPMs;

a basic DPM calculating section for calculating the basic DPMs;

Application/Control Number: 10/591,457

Art Unit: 2112

Page 5

a reference DPM calculating section for calculating reference DPMs, which are DPMs other than the basic DPMs and necessary for the basic DPM calculation by the basic DPM calculating section;

a basic DBM calculating section for calculating basic DBMs of the DBMs, the basic DBMs being necessary for the basic DPM calculation by the basic DPM calculating section; and

a path selecting section for selecting the most likely paths for the Viterbi decoding in accordance with the basic DPMs retained by the basic DPM retaining section, the reference DPMs calculated by the reference DPM calculating section, and the basic DBMs calculated by the basic DBM calculating section, wherein the basic DPM calculating section calculates new basic DPMs in accordance with the basic DPMs retained by the basic DPM retaining section, the reference DPMs calculated by the reference DPM calculating section, the basic DBMs calculated by the basic DBM calculating section, and results of the most likely path selection by the path selecting section.

The foregoing limitations are not found in the prior art of record.

Particularly, none of the prior arts of record teach nor fairly suggest the emphasized limitation as cited in the independent claim 15 that comprise:

Art Unit: 2112

The ACS circuit of Claim 1, comprising:

a basic DPM retaining section for retaining the basic DPMs;

a basic DPM calculating section for calculating the basic DPMs;

a reference DPM calculating section for calculating reference DPMs, which are DPMs other than the basic DPMs and necessary for the basic DPM calculation by the basic DPM calculating section;

Page 6

a basic DBM calculating section for calculating basic DBMs of the DBMs, the basic DBMs being necessary for the basic DPM calculation by the basic DPM calculating section; and

a path selecting section for selecting the most likely paths for the Viterbi decoding in accordance with the basic DPMs retained by the basic DPM retaining section, the reference DPMs calculated by the reference DPM calculating section, and the basic DBMs calculated by the basic DBM calculating section, wherein the basic DPM calculating section calculates new basic DPMs in accordance with the basic DPMs retained by the basic DPM retaining section, the reference DPMs calculated by the reference DPM calculating section, the basic DBMs calculated by the basic DBM calculating section, and results of the most likely path selection by the path selecting section.

6. Claims 3-7 depend from claim 2.

Application/Control Number: 10/591,457 Page 7

Art Unit: 2112

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sam Rizk whose telephone number is (571) 272-

8191. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Scott Baderman can be reached on (571) 272-3644. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

(703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronics Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)

/Sam Rizk/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2112